Designing a ROR1 specific CD3 bispecific T-cell Engager to decouple cytotoxicity from cytokine release while maintaining T cell motility and serial killing

Xueyuan Zhou, Jeffrey Takimoto, Nikhita Khanna, Evren Alici, Paul Song and Brian Rabinovich

Background

- T cell engagers (TCEs) can be powerful therapeutics because they directly bridge T cell to tumor cells
- Cytokine Release Syndrome (CRS) and neurotoxicity remain major adverse events
- T cell activation induced cell death (AICD) and exhaustion likely hinder durability and induction of memory
- There remains an unmet need for a format that maintains efficacy associated with current generation highly potent TCE but with a larger therapeutic window that induces durable responses and can be given in an outpatient setting
- Affinity for CD3, the TAA and the distance of the T cell/tumor synapse are key variables for modulating TCE activity
- FuseBio platform focuses on only 10 geometric formats and minor affinity tuning to quickly identify a TCE drug candidate
- TAA specific VHH with non-canonical disulfide bonds offer greater stability for developability and a modular design
- We have chosen ROR1 receptor tyrosine kinase like orphan receptor 1 (ROR1) as our first TAA to target because it is expressed broadly on both solid and liquid tumors including CLL, MCL, DLBCL, TNBC, lung cancer, head and neck cancer and ovarian cancer



Size of the T cell/tumor synapse

- Targeting domain on TAA
 - Geometry of TCE
 - \succ A large dynamic synapse that partially excludes CD45 provides the optimal signal for sufficient degranulation to kill, maintain perforin/granzyme for multiple kills and prevent AICD

(2) Affinity for CD3 and TAA

- Time that synapse persists
- Tight bond between TAA and CD3 that persists long enough to partially exclude CD45 from synapse but not long enough to reduce motility (trap the T cells), induce AICD or promote exhaustion

Limited screening of FuseBio TCE geometries allows for identification of hits with an array of decoupling ratios



Figure 1. Screening for TCE that decouple cytotoxicity from cytokine release. ROR1 specific VHH were incorporated into multiple TCE geometries and assessed for their ability to induce PBMC mediated cytotoxicity of ROR1+ MDA-MB-231 and IFNy release at 48 hours. The ratio of under the curve values for (A) versus (B) normalized to the R11 non-decoupled TCE is shown in C. Hit TCE's were chosen for highest maximum killing with IFNy release that is <50% that of the non-decoupled control and a potency (EC50) that is 30 -100 fold weaker than the non-decoupled control. As such, FUSE394 was chosen as the lead TCE (blue)

Small modulations in distance geometry can have a large effect on TCE decoupling ratios



Figure 2. To determine whether small changes in TCE mediated synaptic distance between T cell and tumor cell impact the decoupling of cytotoxicity from cytokine release, variants of FUSE394 were generated. The distance between CDR3 of the first ROR1 specific VHH and anti-CD3 was 14.5 nm for all 3 variants. Compared to FUSE394, the distance between CDR3 of the second ROR1 specific VHH and FUSE394⁻¹ and FUSE394⁺² is 1.6 nm shorter and 10 nm longer, respectively (see A). The difference in length had no impact on PBMC mediated cytotoxicity of ROR1+ MDA-MB-231 (B) but was inversely correlated with IFNy release (C). As such, compared to FUSE394, the decoupling ratios of FUSE394⁻¹ and FUSE394⁺² were ~2.5 fold lower and ~2.5 fold higher, respectively

FUSE394 decouples both the maximum and potency of cytokine release from cytotoxicity



Figure 3. Parameters by which the R11 non-decoupled TCE control and FUSE394 induce PBMC mediated killing of ROR1+tumor cells and IFNy release. Target cell lines with cell surface densities of ROR1 ranging from highest to lowest are shown from left to right. Maximum killing and IFNy release overlapped for the R11 non-decoupled TCE control (upper row). In contrast, while maximum killing related to FUSE394 was similar to that of the non-decoupled control, both the potency and maximum IFNy release were appreciably weaker/lower creating an "in-vitro" decoupling window of ~20,000 pM in which one can dose from little/no cytokine to maximum cytokine release while maintaining maximum killing across the full range of physiologically relevant cell surface densities of ROR1 (lower row).

Decoupling ratio (area under the curve killing/IFN γ)





Figure 5. To assess bystander killing, ROR1+ MDA-MB-231 RFP cells (A; dark blue), T-47D ROR1^{neg} eGFP cells (B; light blue) or a 1:1 mixture of the two (C) were co-cultured with expanded T cells in the presence of FUSE394 and viability measured over a 48 hour period. Anti-Hen Egg Lysozyme (HEL) was used as the negative control. Unless the tumors were mixed, FUSE394 induced T cell mediated killing of the ROR+ tumor only, with max cytotoxicity observed at ~20 hours. When tumors targets were mixed, we observed ROR1 independent bystander killing of the ROR1^{neg} tumors with max cytotoxicity observed at ~ 45 hours. Bystander killing is IFNy dependent and involves death receptor mediated apoptosis (e.g. FAS) and can play an important role in the treatment of ROR1 heterogenous tumors, a common feature of solid tumors. Similar results with ~5 hour faster kinetics were observed with the non-decoupled TCE (not shown)

FUSE394 mediates tumor growth inhibition



Comparison of FUSE394 to ROR1 competition

		NOVALGEN	ALMAC Methods thereapeutics Methods thereap			
Γ⊥		CAR-T	ADC	Standard TCE		Decoupled TCE
	 Optimized for Efficacy 	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark
	 Optimized for Safety 	×	×/√	×		\checkmark
Ţ	Potential outpatient therapeutic	×	x ?	×	v s	\checkmark
	T-cell survival/ reduced exhaustion	×/√	NA	×		\checkmark
40 50	Serial killing / Bystander killing	×	×/√	\checkmark		\checkmark
was assessed in NSG mice n teratoma) tumors cells. Mice received two	No continuous infusion	\checkmark	\checkmark	×		\checkmark
	 Optimized for safe combination with other immunotherapies 	×	×	×		\checkmark
indicated dose). One ays 24-31. Long term TGI was non-decoupled TCE control						
r rapid/simplified identification of TCEs that decouple cytotoxicity from cytokine						

• A larger therapeutic window and greater durability of anti-tumor activity compared to non-decoupled TCEs that induce activation induced cell death and cannot support immune memory

Superior efficacy in solid tumors due to its ability to maintain T cell motility, induce serial killing while promoting sufficient IFNy release to support bystander killing